

NOAA Technical Memorandum NOS NGS 34



---

CORRECTIONS APPLIED BY THE NATIONAL GEODETIC  
SURVEY TO PRECISE LEVELING OBSERVATIONS

Rockville, Md.  
June 1982

---

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF  
COMMERCE**

National Oceanic and  
Atmospheric Administration

National Ocean  
Survey

NOAA Technical Publications

National Ocean Survey/National Geodetic Survey  
Subseries

The National Geodetic Survey (NGS) of the National Ocean Survey (NOS), NOAA, establishes and maintains the basic national horizontal and vertical networks of geodetic control and provides Government-wide leadership in the improvement of geodetic surveying methods and instrumentation, coordinates operations to assure network development, and provides specifications and criteria for survey operations by Federal, State, and other agencies.

NGS engages in research and development for the improvement of knowledge of the figure of the Earth and its gravity field, and has the responsibility to procure geodetic data from all sources, process these data, and make them generally available to users through a central data base.

NOAA geodetic publications and relevant geodetic publications of the former U.S. Coast and Geodetic Survey are sold in paper form by the National Geodetic Information Center. To obtain a price list or to place an order, contact:

National Geodetic Information Center  
OA/C18x2  
National Ocean Survey, NOAA  
Rockville, MD 20852

(301) 443-8316

When placing an order, make check or money order payable to: National Geodetic Survey. Do not send cash or stamps.

Publications can also be purchased over the counter at the National Geodetic Information Center, 11400 Rockville Pike, Room 14, Rockville, Md. (Do not send correspondence to this address.)

An excellent reference source for all Government publications is the National Depository Library Program, a network of about 1,300 designated libraries. Requests for borrowing Depository Library material may be made through your local library. A free listing of libraries in this system is available from the Library Division, U.S. Government Printing Office, 5236 Eisenhower Ave., Alexandria, VA 22304 (703-557-9013).

NOAA Technical Memorandum NOS NGS 34

CORRECTIONS APPLIED BY THE NATIONAL GEODETIC  
SURVEY TO PRECISE LEVELING OBSERVATIONS

Emery I. Balazs  
Gary M. Young

National Geodetic Survey  
Rockville, Md.  
June 1982

For sale by the National Geodetic Information Center,  
Rockville, MD 20852 Price \$2.30

UNITED STATES  
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Malcolm Baldrige, Secretary

National Oceanic and  
Atmospheric Administration  
John V. Byrne, Administrator

National Ocean  
Survey  
Herbert R. Lippold, Jr., Director



CONTENTS

Abstract..... 1

Introduction..... 1

Rod scale correction based on the calibration of several  
rod graduations..... 2

Rod scale correction based on the calibration of all rod  
graduations..... 3

Rod temperature correction..... 3

Level collimation correction..... 4

Refraction correction..... 5

Astronomic correction..... 6

Orthometric correction..... 8

Height systems..... 9

Acknowledgments..... 11

References..... 11

Mention of a commercial company or product does not constitute an endorsement by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. Use for publicity or advertising purposes of information from this publication concerning proprietary products or the tests of such products is not authorized.

CORRECTIONS APPLIED BY THE NATIONAL GEODETIC SURVEY  
TO PRECISE LEVELING OBSERVATIONS

Emery I. Balazs  
Gary M. Young  
National Geodetic Survey  
National Ocean Survey, NOAA  
Rockville, Md. 20852

ABSTRACT. The six corrections applied by the National Geodetic Survey to precise leveling observations are described. These corrections are applied to observed leveling data to minimize the effects of known systematic errors. The rod scale correction ensures a uniform scale which conforms to the National length standard. The rod temperature correction accounts for variation in the length of the leveling rod's Invar/LO-VAR<sup>®</sup> strip which results from temperature changes. The level collimation correction minimizes the error caused by nonhorizontality of the leveling instrument's line of sight for unequal sight lengths. The refraction correction is modeled to minimize the refraction error caused by temperature (density) variation of air strata. The astronomic correction counteracts the effects of the Moon and Sun on the equipotential surfaces of the Earth. The orthometric correction eliminates the effect of the nonparallelism of equipotential surfaces. The height system presently used at the National Geodetic Survey and those height systems proposed for the future are also described.

INTRODUCTION

To achieve the highest degree of accuracy in the measurement of elevation differences, the National Geodetic Survey (NGS), a main line component of the National Ocean Survey, formerly the Coast and Geodetic Survey (C&GS), uses the most modern leveling equipment available. Observational procedures have also been designed to provide the most effective method to acquire data. In addition, those systematic errors which cannot be sufficiently controlled by instrumentation or observational techniques are minimized by applying appropriate corrections to the observed data.

Rod scale and rod temperature corrections have been applied to observed height differences since the beginning of precise leveling by C&GS in 1878. The level collimation correction has been applied since about 1900, when the Fischer leveling instrument was introduced. Orthometric corrections have been applied to observed elevation differences since 1910, using the formula later adopted by the Seventeenth General Conference of the International Association

of Geodesy in 1912 (Bowie and Avers 1914). Refraction and astronomic corrections have been applied to leveling data since 1973.

### ROD SCALE CORRECTION BASED ON THE CALIBRATION OF SEVERAL ROD GRADUATIONS

The length of the Invar strip of a leveling rod used in precise leveling should be traceable to the National length standard. Precise leveling rods should be calibrated before and after each project if practical, whenever possible damage has occurred, or at least once during each year of use. The calibrated length of a rod is usually determined by comparing its Invar strip to a standard meter. The length excess of an average rod meter is computed from the "actual minus nominal" length differences observed at several points along the rod. A rod scale correction is applied to the observed difference of elevation between permanently monumented vertical control points (bench marks) using an average length excess for the rod pair. The accuracy of the rod scale correction depends on the accuracy of the rod length excess determination and the stability of the Invar scale with time. The correction is computed by the following formula (Rappleye 1948) and added with the resultant algebraic sign to the observed elevation difference:

$$C_r = De \tag{1}$$

where  $C_r$  = rod scale correction in millimeters,

$D$  = observed difference of elevation for the section in meters, and

$e$  = average length excess of the rod pair in mm/m.

Example: Standardization reports prepared by the National Bureau of Standards for two leveling rods contain the following information:

1. Standardization temperature: 25°C.
2. Coefficient of thermal expansion: 0.0000008 per meter per degree Celsius.
3. Actual length between designated points of the rods at the standardization temperature:

Nominal length:	0-40	0-200	0-400	0-600	in half-centimeters
Rod 1	0.19990	0.99982	1.99985	2.99982	in meters
Rod 2	0.19994	0.99998	1.99994	2.99994	in meters.

The computed length excesses of an average rod meter are: Rod 1: -0.0213 mm/m; Rod 2: -0.0027 mm/m; and for the rod pair: -0.0120 mm/m.

The computed index errors are: Rod 1: -0.119 mm; Rod 2: -0.046 mm.

The length excess and index error are determined using a least-squares adjustment. The index error is the difference between the "imaginary" zero mark of the scale and the reference point on the bottom of the rod frame. The effect of index errors is minimized by appropriate field procedures; e.g., "leap-

frogging" the leveling rods and using an even number of setups between bench marks, or "matching" rod pairs with (nearly) equal index errors.

#### ROD SCALE CORRECTION BASED ON THE CALIBRATION OF ALL ROD GRADUATIONS

In 1980 the National Bureau of Standards developed, as specified in a NGS contract, an automated measurement system for the "detailed" calibration of geodetic leveling rods (Balazs 1980). The system incorporates a 6-meter, one-dimensional measuring machine, a motorized carriage, a photoelectric microscope, and a helium-neon laser interferometer interfaced to a minicomputer, to determine graduation locations on linear scales. Measurements can be made of virtually any leveling rod scale with scribed, engraved, or painted graduations. Data acquisition is triggered by light-intensity variations sensed by a photo-detector, which allows both edges of every graduation on the Invar strip of a leveling rod to be measured. The laser interferometer provides a length measurement referenced to either a fixture mounted to the foot piece of the rod or to any graduation on the rod scale itself. The accuracy (standard error) of the system is reported to be better than  $\pm 50$  micrometers. (A comprehensive error analysis has not yet been completed.)

When detailed calibration data became available in late 1980, a new procedure for applying the rod scale correction was implemented at NGS for those leveling rods which had received the detailed calibration. The procedure determines the standard length between the center of the rod foot plate, in line with the scale and each line graduation. Standard meter distances are stored in a computer file along with the nominal rod readings for the corresponding line graduations. The calibration value for each rod reading is obtained by entering the file with the nominal rod reading (without the micrometer reading) and selecting the corresponding standard meter value. The micrometer reading is converted to meters and added to the standard meter value to obtain the height in meters above the rod foot plate. The index correction (explained previously) is not needed when the detailed rod calibration is used. The height above the rod foot plate must be corrected for the difference between survey-and-calibration Invar temperatures using the coefficient of thermal expansion explained next in the "Rod Temperature Correction" section.

#### ROD TEMPERATURE CORRECTION

The coefficient of thermal expansion of the Invar strip of a NGS leveling rod is determined by the National Bureau of Standards. The calibrated length of an average rod meter is given for each rod for a specific temperature, as determined from the rod excess computation and standardization. The rod temperature correction is applied to the elevation difference between bench marks using the mean of the Invar temperatures observed at the beginning and end of a section. (A section is the interval between adjacent permanently monumented bench marks.) The correction is computed by the following formula (Rappleye 1948) and added

with the resultant algebraic sign to the observed elevation difference for the section:

$$C_t = (t_m - t_s) DCE \quad (2)$$

where  $C_t$  = rod temperature correction,

$t_m$  = mean observed temperature of the Invar strip,

$t_s$  = standardization temperature of the Invar strip,

D = observed difference of elevation between the bench marks, and

CE = mean coefficient of thermal expansion per unit length per degree temperature of the rod pair.

The units of D,  $t_m$ , and  $t_s$  must be consistent with the unit of CE. The unit of  $C_t$  is the same as the unit of D.

#### LEVEL COLLIMATION CORRECTION

The effects of the collimation error of a leveling instrument are best minimized by field procedures. If sight lengths are balanced, i.e., DS = 0 and SDS = 0, where DS is the difference between backward and forward sight lengths at one setup (DS = backsight distance - foresight distance) and SDS is the accumulated DS for a section, the effect of the collimation error approaches zero. A well-adjusted instrument also minimizes this error without balancing sight lengths, although the collimation error of most leveling instruments changes slightly throughout the day as a result of changing temperature.

When SDS or the collimation error of the instrument is not zero, a correction is added with the resultant algebraic sign to the observed difference of elevation of each running of a section using the following formula (modified from Rappleye 1948):

$$C_c = - (e \text{ SDS}) \quad (3)$$

where  $C_c$  = level collimation correction in millimeters,

e = collimation error in radians x 1,000 or in mm/m, and

SDS = accumulated difference in sight lengths for the section in meters.

For the Zeiss Ni 002 leveling instrument, the collimation error should be determined at least weekly during the period when the instrument is in use. For

most other instruments, the collimation error should be determined each day during use. Several methods have been developed to determine level collimation error (Jordan et al. 1956, Rappleye 1948). Level collimation error must not be determined when the temperature gradient at the observing site is positive. A positive temperature gradient occurs when the air nearer the ground is colder than the air directly above the same point. Additional details about measuring temperature differences are stated in the "Refraction Correction" section. If equipment is not available to determine the temperature gradient, the collimation error should be determined during the time of day between 2 hours after sunrise and 2 hours before sunset. If possible, the surveying team should avoid frozen or snow-covered ground when determining the collimation error.

#### REFRACTION CORRECTION

A simplified version of the model developed by Professor T. J. Kukkamaki of the Finnish Geodetic Institute (Kukkamaki 1939) is used to compute corrections to observed elevation differences of a section to minimize the effect of refraction:

$$R = - 10^{-5} \gamma \left\{ \frac{s}{[(2n)(50)]} \right\}^2 \delta d W \quad (4)$$

where R = refraction correction in millimeters for the section,  
 $\gamma$  = 70 (Hytonen 1967),  
s = section length in meters,  
n = number of setups,  
 $\delta$  = "predicted" temperature difference in degrees Celsius between temperatures at 2.5 m and 0.5 m above the ground (upper temperature minus lower temperature),  
d = difference of elevation for the section in units of half-cm, and  
W = weather factor (based on the recorded "sun code"). The weather factor W is as follows: 0.5 for 100 percent cloudy sky, 1 for 50 percent sunny sky, 1.5 for 100 percent sunny sky.

Note: W is applied only when  $\delta$  is predicted as explained in the next two paragraphs. For observed  $\delta$ , use the original Kukkamaki model shown in eq. 5.

From 1973 to 1980, NGS used the temperature differences tabulated by Best (1935) for 0.3 m and 1.2 m above the ground as the predicted values for  $\delta$ . Refraction experiments conducted by NGS in 1980 (Whalen 1980) indicated that the temperature differences tabulated in the Best tables (Best 1935) were often too small for the United States.

Holdahl (1981) has developed a model to predict temperature gradients for the conterminous United States from historic meteorological data, for use when observed temperature differences are not available. Refraction corrections for NGS leveling data that do not include observed temperature differences are now being recomputed with new values predicted by Holdahl's model (Holdahl 1981).

